



Mar
23

Three Takeaways from the Fed Decision

Posted by Jeffrey J. Roach, PhD, Chief Economist



Thursday, March 23, 2023

Overview

- As we expected, the Federal Reserve (Fed) raised the fed funds rate by 0.25%, pushing the upper bound to 5.00%.
- Financial conditions were stable enough for the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) to release updated projections, unlike the Fed's decision back in March 2020 to delay updated projections due to financial instability.
- Yesterday, the Committee was unanimous in their decision, creating an aura of calm for markets.
- Financial stability is clearly a vital factor in future decisions, but as of now, the Fed will likely hike at least one more time at the May meeting.

- Tighter credit conditions translate into equivalent rate hikes. Therefore, the Fed can rightly soften their language that additional policy firming may (or may not) be appropriate.
- Prices in non-housing services have yet to ease and are the top concern for the Committee.
- The Fed sees Silicon Valley Bank as an outlier, not a harbinger of more banking failures. Therefore, the Fed will stay vigilant, but fighting inflation is still the main focus for monetary policy.
- Bottom Line: Investors' wishes may come true: contagion risks are low enough for the Fed to hike rates and inflation pressures are soft enough for the Fed to be near the end of the rate hiking campaign. Inflation should ease further in the latter half of this year and investors will likely be interested in taking on more market exposure in portfolios.

First, a Pause was Discussed but Eventually Dismissed

In days prior to the meeting, committee members considered pausing the rate hiking campaign due to uncertainty with some regional banks. The run on deposits at some banks in the U.S. created a bit of a scare, but as the days passed, participants believed conditions were favorable for increasing rates and markets were stable enough for participants to publish updated Summary of Economic Projections (SEP). Uncertainty in the banking sector created some division among committee members; however, the median rate forecast for 2023 was unchanged from December at 5.1%, but the path for the rest of the year is muddled. Some forecast no more rate hikes, while others forecast quite a bit more tightening.

As risks of contagion seem to diminish, investors should expect the FOMC to focus on the inflation portion of the dual Congressional mandate for price stability and full employment, i.e., the growth component of the mandate. Unlike the Great Financial Crisis, larger banks are currently well-capitalized and hedged against broader economic risks, giving the Fed room to hike rates amid the volatility.

Second, Tighter Financial Conditions are Equivalent to a Hike in Rates

The Fed may not have to raise rates as much as they expect if financial conditions tighten, since tighter conditions translate into equivalent rate hikes. Although broader financial conditions are tighter now, the Fed will likely stay vigilant with fighting inflation. Given the recent deceleration of prices across much of the economy, the Fed can rightly soften their language about future rate increases from a commitment to a possibility.

As shown in the chart below, financial stress spiked from the uncertainty in the banking sector, and will likely put a damper on the economy, and as the economy slows, consumers pull back spending, and aggregate demand falls,

the Fed may not have to hike as much as they anticipated just a few weeks ago.

Financial Stress Rose the Highest Since November But Stress Is Significantly Below Prior Crises



Source: LPL Research, U.S. Treasury 03/23/2023

[View enlarged chart](#)

Third, Fed Officials do not Expect to Cut Rates this Year.

The markets are at odds with Chairman Powell and his colleagues. The FOMC does not plan on cutting rates this year, but the markets seem convinced the committee will indeed cut rates. Who is right? The markets are probably right because the impact of tighter financial conditions, softening consumer demand, and a slowing economy will release some of the inflationary pressure. Our view is that inflation will be in the mid- to upper-3% range by end of year and will likely slow further next year.

Inflation fighting is still the Fed's main focus and therefore, it behooves the committee not to pencil in any rate cuts at this point of the cycle. But both markets and the FOMC can agree on at least one thing—the outlook for inflation the rest of the year looks promising.

Supporting the "higher for longer" view is that the banking failure of Silicon Valley Bank seems to be contained and the general financial system appears stable. Although we expect a final 0.25% increase in the Fed's target rate at the next meeting, the economy is weakening so conditions look like our baseline forecast this year will come to fruition. That is, the Fed pauses by the summertime and the economy ekes out slightly positive growth for 2023.

What Does It Mean for You?

Policy makers view the banking sector as sound. And further, during the press conference, Chair Powell emphatically communicated that the crisis within Silicon Valley Bank was an outlier, not a harbinger of more banking failures. Contagion risks are dissipating but still, the localized crisis has tightened financial conditions and increased recession risks this year. If the economy slows, the Fed may not have to raise rates as much as they had anticipated. In the near term, markets should respond favorably to the updated outlook and the latest decision. Investors' wishes may come true—contagion risks are low enough for the Fed to hike rates and inflation pressures are soft enough for the Fed to be near the end of the rate hiking campaign. LPL Research remains cautiously constructive on equities, though gains for this year may be more back-end loaded.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This material is for general information only and is not intended to provide specific advice or recommendations for any individual. There is no assurance that the views or strategies discussed are suitable for all investors. To determine which investment(s) may be appropriate for you, please consult your financial professional prior to investing.

Investing involves risks including possible loss of principal. No investment strategy or risk management technique can guarantee return or eliminate risk in all market environments. **For more information on the risks associated with the strategies and product types discussed please visit <https://lplresearch.com/Risks>**

References to markets, asset classes, and sectors are generally regarding the corresponding market index. Indexes are unmanaged statistical composites and cannot be invested into directly. Index performance is not indicative of the performance of any investment and do not reflect fees, expenses, or sales charges. All performance referenced is historical and is no guarantee of future results.

Unless otherwise stated LPL Financial and the third party persons and firms mentioned are not affiliates of each other and make no representation with respect to each other. Any company names noted herein are for educational purposes only and not an indication of trading intent or a solicitation of their products or services.

All information is believed to be from reliable sources; however, LPL Financial makes no representation as to its completeness or accuracy.

Securities and advisory services offered through LPL Financial, a registered investment advisor and broker-dealer. Member FINRA/SIPC.

Tracking # 1-05365102

Posted in *Macro Market Movers*

[← Is the ‘Fear Gauge’ becoming Less Fearful?](#)

[Weekly Market Performance – Markets Higher Amid Global Banking Concerns →](#)

SUBSCRIBE TO THE BLOG VIA EMAIL

LPLResearch.com is the official Research blog of LPL Financial. Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Join 6,361 other subscribers

INTERACT WITH US

- [Twitter: @LPLResearch](#)
- [Twitter: @JeffreyJRoach](#)
- [Twitter: @jbuchbinder_LPL](#)
- [LinkedIn: LPL Financial Research](#)
- [YouTube: LPL Financial Research](#)

LEARN MORE ABOUT US

- [LPL Financial Research](#)
- [LPL Financial \(www.lpl.com\)](#)
- [Product and Strategy Risk Disclosures](#)

POPULAR RECENT POSTS

- [Weekly Market Performance – Markets Higher Amid Global Banking Concerns](#)
- [Three Takeaways from the Fed Decision](#)
- [Dissecting Recent Bank Failures](#)

<input type="text" value="Search"/>	<input type="button" value="Search"/>
<input type="text" value="Search"/>	<input type="button" value="Search"/>

RECENT POSTS

- [Weekly Market Performance – Markets Higher Amid Global Banking Concerns](#)
- [Three Takeaways from the Fed Decision](#)
- [Is the ‘Fear Gauge’ becoming Less Fearful?](#)
- [Weekly Market Performance – Markets Mixed On Banking Concerns](#)

- [Back to December](#)

RECENT COMMENTS

ARCHIVES

- [March 2023](#)
- [February 2023](#)
- [January 2023](#)
- [December 2022](#)
- [November 2022](#)
- [October 2022](#)
- [September 2022](#)
- [August 2022](#)
- [July 2022](#)
- [June 2022](#)
- [May 2022](#)
- [April 2022](#)
- [March 2022](#)
- [February 2022](#)
- [January 2022](#)
- [December 2021](#)
- [November 2021](#)
- [October 2021](#)
- [September 2021](#)
- [August 2021](#)
- [July 2021](#)
- [June 2021](#)
- [May 2021](#)
- [April 2021](#)
- [March 2021](#)
- [February 2021](#)
- [January 2021](#)
- [December 2020](#)
- [November 2020](#)
- [October 2020](#)
- [September 2020](#)
- [August 2020](#)
- [July 2020](#)
- [June 2020](#)
- [May 2020](#)
- [April 2020](#)
- [March 2020](#)
- [February 2020](#)
- [January 2020](#)
- [December 2019](#)
- [November 2019](#)
- [October 2019](#)
- [September 2019](#)
- [August 2019](#)

CATEGORIES

- [Macro Market Movers](#)
- [Macro Market Movers](#)

META

- [Log in](#)
 - [Entries feed](#)
 - [Comments feed](#)
 - [WordPress.org](#)
-

Member FINRA/SIPC

